

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,425	01/12/2001	Bart F. Rice	18721-5695	2323	
758 7:	590 03/06/2002				
FENWICK & WEST LLP			EXAMINER		
TWO PALO ALTO SQUARE PALO ALTO, CA 94306			CANGIALOSI, S	CANGIALOSI, SALVATORE A	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661	9	
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2002	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

GC.



UNITED STATES DEPARTED TO F COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Off.

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

EXAMINER		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

FILING DATE

SERIAL NUMBER

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND THADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2/13/0 2 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. Let The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: There is No hasis for claim "signals within u spread spectrum system". This is the first instance of this limitation and it is also not clear what is include in his system, i.e. the face space of US through which signals the non-allowable claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to: 2-/9
Claims rejected:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other SALVATORE CANGIALOSI

ART UNIT 222